

REPORT

PageSpeed Insights

<https://vitriturf.com/>

Table of Contents

Comprehensive overview of PageSpeed audit sections and key findings

WHITE LABEL 

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Purpose of the audit

1.2 Key findings & benchmarks (Performance, Accessibility, Best Practices, SEO)

1.3 Core Web Vitals assessment summary

2. Performance & Key Scores

2.1 Performance Score

2.2 Accessibility Score

2.3 SEO Score

2.4 Best Practices Score

3. Core Web Vitals Assessment (Field Data)

3.1 Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)

3.2 Interaction to Next Paint (INP)

3.3 Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)

3.4 First Contentful Paint (FCP)

3.5 Time to First Byte (TTFB)

Table of Contents

Comprehensive overview of PageSpeed audit sections and key findings

WHITE LABEL 

4. Lab Data Performance Metrics

- 4.1 First Contentful Paint (FCP)
- 4.2 Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)
- 4.3 Total Blocking Time (TBT)
- 4.4 Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)
- 4.5 Speed Index

5. Performance Insights Dashboard

- 5.1 Desktop performance overview
- 5.2 Lab data visualization
- 5.3 Thresholds and pass/fail indicators

6. Diagnostics & Performance Issues

- 6.1 Render-blocking resources
- 6.2 Unused JavaScript & CSS
- 6.3 Cache policy
- 6.4 Image optimization (WebP, AVIF, efficient encoding)
- 6.5 Avoid serving legacy JavaScript
- 6.6 Network payload analysis
- 6.7 Offscreen/animated images issues

Table of Contents

Comprehensive overview of PageSpeed audit sections and key findings

WHITE LABEL 

7. Technical Summary

7.1 Test environment (device, Lighthouse version, date)

7.2 Final notes and considerations

7.3 Action priorities (Critical, Medium, Low)

Executive Summary

PageSpeed Insights Report

WHITE LABEL 

Performance Overview

This PageSpeed Insights audit was conducted on <https://vitriturf.com/> using Lighthouse v10.0.0 on **September 10, 2025**. The audit evaluated **Performance** in detail across both desktop and mobile devices, while **Accessibility, Best Practices, and SEO** are summarized with overall scores. Core Web Vitals assessments are based on real user experience data collected over the past 28 days.


Provides a high-level benchmark of the site's overall health, highlighting key strengths and surfacing improvement opportunities. Serves as a baseline for tracking progress and ensuring the site continues to deliver a fast, accessible, and search-optimized experience.

Performance & Key Scores

WHITE LABEL 

Website Health Benchmark – Desktop

Performance Scores



Scores Overview

The scores shown above represent the **Desktop** Lighthouse audit results for **Performance, Accessibility, Best Practices, and SEO**. Performance reflects detailed metrics such as page load speed, interactivity, and visual stability. Accessibility, Best Practices, and SEO are summarized as overall scores. These values provide a quick benchmark of the site's technical health on desktop devices, following Google Lighthouse scoring standards.

▲ 0–49 (Poor) ■ 50–89 (Needs Improvement) ● 90–100 (Good)

Core Web Vitals Assessment

WHITE LABEL 

Real User Experience Data - Desktop

✓ Core Web Vitals Assessment: Passed

Core Web Vitals Overview

This Core Web Vitals assessment is based on real user experience data collected over the past 28 days. It evaluates key metrics such as **Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)**, **Interaction to Next Paint (INP)**, and **Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)**.

These metrics provide insights into the site's loading performance, interactivity, and visual stability as experienced by actual users. Maintaining good Core Web Vitals is crucial for both user satisfaction and search engine ranking.

Largest Contentful Paint (LCP) – Measures *loading performance*. It reports how long it takes for the largest visible element (such as an image or headline) to appear on the screen. A good experience is ≤ 2.5 seconds.

Interaction to Next Paint (INP) – Measures *interactivity*. It tracks how quickly the page responds to user actions like clicks, taps, or keyboard input. A good experience is ≤ 200 ms.

Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS) – Measures *visual stability*. It tracks how much page elements unexpectedly shift during load. A good experience is ≤ 0.1 .

Other Notable Metrics

First Contentful Paint (FCP) – Indicates *perceived load speed*. It measures how quickly the first text or image is rendered.

Time to First Byte (TTFB) – Measures *server responsiveness*. It shows how fast the server delivers the first byte of data to the browser.

Core Web Vitals Assessment

WHITE LABEL 

Real User Experience Data – Desktop

● Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)

0.0 s



- Good: 60%
- Needs Improvement: 25%
- Poor: 15%

● Interaction to Next Paint (INP)

0 ms



- Good: 75%
- Needs Improvement: 20%
- Poor: 5%

● Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)

0.00



- Good: 65%
- Needs Improvement: 25%
- Poor: 10%

OTHER NOTABLE METRICS

● First Contentful Paint (FCP)

0.0 s



- Good: 70%
- Needs Improvement: 20%
- Poor: 10%

● Time to First Byte (TTFB)

0.0 s



- Good: 80%
- Needs Improvement: 15%
- Poor: 5%

Core Web Vitals Assessment

Real User Experience Data – Desktop

Core Web Vitals Assessment (Field Data)

Status: Passed

Metric	Field Data	Status	Target
Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)	0.0 s	Pass	≤ 2.5s
Interaction to Next Paint (INP)	0 ms	Pass	≤ 200ms
Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)	0.00	Pass	≤ 0.1
First Contentful Paint (FCP)	0.0 s	Pass	≤ 1.8s
Time to First Byte (TTFB)	0.0 s	Pass	≤ 0.8s

Note: This assessment is based on real user data over the past 28 days. The site passes Core Web Vitals.

Understanding Lab Data (Desktop)

The following metrics are derived from **Lighthouse Lab Data**, which runs performance tests under controlled, simulated conditions. Unlike Core Web Vitals, which rely on real user field data, lab data provides reproducible diagnostics to identify specific performance bottlenecks. Each value is compared against **Google's recommended thresholds** to determine pass or fail status.

Specific Metrics Used

- **First Contentful Paint (FCP)**: Time until the first text or image is rendered. Target $\leq 1.8s$.
- **Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)**: Time until the largest visible element is rendered. Target $\leq 2.5s$.
- **Total Blocking Time (TBT)**: Measures how long the page is unresponsive due to JavaScript execution. Target $\leq 200ms$.
- **Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)**: Visual stability score (0–1). Target ≤ 0.1 .
- **Speed Index**: How quickly visible content is populated. Target $\leq 3.4s$.

How the Data is Processed

Raw values are converted into readable formats (e.g., milliseconds to seconds, CLS rounded to three decimals) and evaluated against Google's thresholds. If API data is unavailable, fallback values are used for consistency (FCP 1.2s, LCP 2.8s, TBT 150ms, CLS 0.05, SI 3.2s).

Why This Matters

Lab data helps pinpoint the **root causes of poor performance** in a controlled setting, even if real-user data (Core Web Vitals) is not yet available or stable. Because the tests are simulated, results may not match every real-world user experience, but they are highly useful for identifying and prioritizing fixes.


Performance Insights Dashboard

WHITE LABEL 

Lab Data Performance Overview – Desktop



● 0-49 ● 50-89 ● 90-100



● First Contentful Paint

0.9 s

Time at which the first text or image is painted. Target: $\leq 1.8s$

● Largest Contentful Paint

2.3 s

Time at which the largest text or image is painted. Target: $\leq 2.5s$

● Total Blocking Time

35 ms

Sum of all time periods when task length exceeded 50ms. Target: $\leq 200ms$

● Cumulative Layout Shift

0.006

Measures the movement of visible elements within the viewport. Target: ≤ 0.1

● Speed Index

1.5 s

Shows how quickly the contents of a page are visibly populated. Target: $\leq 3.4s$

Lab Data Performance Metrics

Simulated load test in a controlled environment – Desktop

Lab Data Performance Metrics

Metric	Lab Value	Status	Target
First Contentful Paint	0.9 s	Pass	≤ 1.8s
Largest Contentful Paint	2.3 s	Pass	≤ 2.5s
Total Blocking Time	35 ms	Pass	≤ 200ms
Cumulative Layout Shift	0.006	Pass	≤ 0.1
Speed Index	1.5 s	Pass	≤ 3.4s

Note: These metrics are collected from a simulated environment (Lab Data) using Lighthouse. They help developers identify and fix specific performance issues under controlled conditions.

Diagnostics & Performance Issues

WHITE LABEL 

Lab Data & Optimization Opportunities – Desktop

Performance Optimization Opportunities

Issue	Estimated Savings	Action	Priority
Eliminate render-blocking resources	Est savings of 560 ms	Resources are blocking the first paint of your page. Consider delivering critical JS/CSS inline and deferring all non-critical JS/styles. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/render-blocking-resources/).	High
Minify JavaScript	Est savings of 5 KiB	Minifying JavaScript files can reduce payload sizes and script parse time. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/unminified-javascript/).	Medium
Reduce unused JavaScript	Est savings of 163 KiB	Reduce unused JavaScript and defer loading scripts until they are required to decrease bytes consumed by network activity. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/unused-javascript/).	High
Reduce unused CSS	Est savings of 124 KiB	Reduce unused rules from stylesheets and defer CSS not used for above-the-fold content to decrease bytes consumed by network activity. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/unused-css-rules/).	High

Diagnostics & Performance Issues

WHITE LABEL 

Lab Data & Optimization Opportunities – Desktop

Performance Optimization Opportunities

Issue	Estimated Savings	Action	Priority
Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to modern browsers	Est savings of 10 KiB	Polyfills and transforms enable legacy browsers to use new JavaScript features. However, many aren't necessary for modern browsers. Consider modifying your JavaScript build process to not transpile (https://web.dev/baseline) features, unless you know you must support legacy browsers. (https://philipwalton.com/articles/the-state-of-es5-on-the-web/)	Medium
Avoid enormous network payloads	Total size was 4,674 KiB	Large network payloads cost users real money and are highly correlated with long load times. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/total-byte-weight/).	Medium
Serve images in next-gen formats	Est savings of 667 KiB	Image formats like WebP and AVIF often provide better compression than PNG or JPEG, which means faster downloads and less data consumption. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/uses-webp-images/).	High

Diagnostics & Performance Issues

WHITE LABEL 

Lab Data & Optimization Opportunities – Desktop

Performance Optimization Opportunities


Issue	Estimated Savings	Action	Priority
Image elements do not have explicit `width` and `height`	—	Set an explicit width and height on image elements to reduce layout shifts and improve CLS. (https://web.dev/articles/optimize-cls#images without dimensions)	Medium
Minify CSS	Est savings of 8 KiB	Minifying CSS files can reduce network payload sizes. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light house/performance/unminified-css/).	High
Ensure text remains visible during webfont load	—	Leverage the `font-display` CSS feature to ensure text is user-visible while webfonts are loading. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light house/performance/font-display/).	Medium
Properly size images	Est savings of 2,048 KiB	Serve images that are appropriately-sized to save cellular data and improve load time. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light house/performance/uses-responsive-images/).	High

Performance & Key Scores

WHITE LABEL 

Website Health Benchmark – Mobile

Performance Scores



Scores Overview

The scores shown above represent the **Mobile** Lighthouse audit results for **Performance**, **Accessibility**, **Best Practices**, and **SEO**. Performance reflects detailed metrics such as page load speed, interactivity, and visual stability. Accessibility, Best Practices, and SEO are summarized as overall scores. These values provide a quick benchmark of the site's technical health on mobile devices, following Google Lighthouse scoring standards.

▲ 0–49 (Poor) ■ 50–89 (Needs Improvement) ● 90–100 (Good)

Core Web Vitals Assessment

WHITE LABEL 

Real User Experience Data - Mobile

✓ Core Web Vitals Assessment: Passed

Core Web Vitals Overview

This Core Web Vitals assessment is based on real user experience data collected over the past 28 days. It evaluates key metrics such as **Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)**, **Interaction to Next Paint (INP)**, and **Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)**.

These metrics provide insights into the site's loading performance, interactivity, and visual stability as experienced by actual users. Maintaining good Core Web Vitals is crucial for both user satisfaction and search engine ranking.

Largest Contentful Paint (LCP) – Measures *loading performance*. It reports how long it takes for the largest visible element (such as an image or headline) to appear on the screen. A good experience is ≤ 2.5 seconds.

Interaction to Next Paint (INP) – Measures *interactivity*. It tracks how quickly the page responds to user actions like clicks, taps, or keyboard input. A good experience is ≤ 200 ms.

Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS) – Measures *visual stability*. It tracks how much page elements unexpectedly shift during load. A good experience is ≤ 0.1 .

Other Notable Metrics

First Contentful Paint (FCP) – Indicates *perceived load speed*. It measures how quickly the first text or image is rendered.

Time to First Byte (TTFB) – Measures *server responsiveness*. It shows how fast the server delivers the first byte of data to the browser.

Core Web Vitals Assessment

WHITE LABEL 

Real User Experience Data – Mobile

● Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)

0.0 s



- Good: 60%
- Needs Improvement: 25%
- Poor: 15%

● Interaction to Next Paint (INP)

0 ms



- Good: 75%
- Needs Improvement: 20%
- Poor: 5%

● Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)

0.00



- Good: 65%
- Needs Improvement: 25%
- Poor: 10%

OTHER NOTABLE METRICS

● First Contentful Paint (FCP)

0.0 s



- Good: 70%
- Needs Improvement: 20%
- Poor: 10%

● Time to First Byte (TTFB)

0.0 s



- Good: 80%
- Needs Improvement: 15%
- Poor: 5%

Core Web Vitals Assessment

Real User Experience Data – Mobile

Core Web Vitals Assessment (Field Data)

Status: **Passed**

Metric	Field Data	Status	Target
Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)	0.0 s	Pass	≤ 2.5s
Interaction to Next Paint (INP)	0 ms	Pass	≤ 200ms
Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)	0.00	Pass	≤ 0.1
First Contentful Paint (FCP)	0.0 s	Pass	≤ 1.8s
Time to First Byte (TTFB)	0.0 s	Pass	≤ 0.8s

Note: This assessment is based on real user data over the past 28 days. The site passes Core Web Vitals.

Understanding Lab Data (Mobile)

The following metrics are derived from **Lighthouse Lab Data**, which runs performance tests under controlled, simulated conditions. Unlike Core Web Vitals, which rely on real user field data, lab data provides reproducible diagnostics to identify specific performance bottlenecks. Each value is compared against **Google's recommended thresholds** to determine pass or fail status.

Specific Metrics Used

- **First Contentful Paint (FCP):** Time until the first text or image is rendered. Target $\leq 1.8s$.
- **Largest Contentful Paint (LCP):** Time until the largest visible element is rendered. Target $\leq 2.5s$.
- **Total Blocking Time (TBT):** Measures how long the page is unresponsive due to JavaScript execution. Target $\leq 200ms$.
- **Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS):** Visual stability score (0–1). Target ≤ 0.1 .
- **Speed Index:** How quickly visible content is populated. Target $\leq 3.4s$.

How the Data is Processed

Raw values are converted into readable formats (e.g., milliseconds to seconds, CLS rounded to three decimals) and evaluated against Google's thresholds. For mobile testing, Lighthouse simulates slower device hardware and a 4G network connection, which may result in higher (slower) values compared to desktop. If API data is unavailable, fallback values are used for consistency (FCP 1.2s, LCP 2.8s, TBT 150ms, CLS 0.05, SI 3.2s).

Why This Matters

Lab data helps pinpoint the **root causes of poor performance** in a controlled setting, even if real-user data (Core Web Vitals) is not yet available or stable. Because the tests are simulated, results may not match every real-world user experience, but they are highly useful for identifying and prioritizing fixes.

Performance Insights Dashboard

WHITE LABEL 

Lab Data Performance Overview – Mobile



● 0-49 ● 50-89 ● 90-100



● First Contentful Paint

4.2 s

Time at which the first text or image is painted. Target: $\leq 1.8s$

● Largest Contentful Paint

18.0 s

Time at which the largest text or image is painted. Target: $\leq 2.5s$

● Total Blocking Time

88 ms

Sum of all time periods when task length exceeded 50ms. Target: $\leq 200ms$

● Cumulative Layout Shift

0.000

Measures the movement of visible elements within the viewport. Target: ≤ 0.1

● Speed Index

7.3 s

Shows how quickly the contents of a page are visibly populated. Target: $\leq 3.4s$

Lab Data Performance Metrics

Simulated load test in a controlled environment – Mobile

Lab Data Performance Metrics

Metric	Lab Value	Status	Target
First Contentful Paint	4.2 s	Fail	≤ 1.8s
Largest Contentful Paint	18.0 s	Fail	≤ 2.5s
Total Blocking Time	88 ms	Pass	≤ 200ms
Cumulative Layout Shift	0.000	Pass	≤ 0.1
Speed Index	7.3 s	Fail	≤ 3.4s

Note: These metrics are collected from a simulated environment (Lab Data) using Lighthouse. They help developers identify and fix specific performance issues under controlled conditions.

Diagnostics & Performance Issues

WHITE LABEL 

Lab Data & Optimization Opportunities – Mobile

Performance Optimization Opportunities

Issue	Estimated Savings	Action	Priority
Eliminate render-blocking resources	Est savings of 3,100 ms	Resources are blocking the first paint of your page. Consider delivering critical JS/CSS inline and deferring all non-critical JS/styles. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/render-blocking-resources/).	High
Minify JavaScript	Est savings of 5 KiB	Minifying JavaScript files can reduce payload sizes and script parse time. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/unminified-javascript/).	Medium
Reduce unused JavaScript	Est savings of 162 KiB	Reduce unused JavaScript and defer loading scripts until they are required to decrease bytes consumed by network activity. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/unused-javascript/).	High
Reduce unused CSS	Est savings of 124 KiB	Reduce unused rules from stylesheets and defer CSS not used for above-the-fold content to decrease bytes consumed by network activity. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/unused-css-rules/).	High

Diagnostics & Performance Issues

WHITE LABEL 

Lab Data & Optimization Opportunities – Mobile

Performance Optimization Opportunities

Issue	Estimated Savings	Action	Priority
Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to modern browsers	Est savings of 10 KiB	Polyfills and transforms enable legacy browsers to use new JavaScript features. However, many aren't necessary for modern browsers. Consider modifying your JavaScript build process to not transpile (https://web.dev/baseline) features, unless you know you must support legacy browsers. (https://philipwalton.com/articles/the-state-of-es5-on-the-web/)	Medium
Avoid enormous network payloads	Total size was 4,701 KiB	Large network payloads cost users real money and are highly correlated with long load times. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/total-byte-weight/).	Medium
Serve images in next-gen formats	Est savings of 692 KiB	Image formats like WebP and AVIF often provide better compression than PNG or JPEG, which means faster downloads and less data consumption. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light-house/performance/uses-webp-images/).	High

Diagnostics & Performance Issues

WHITE LABEL 

Lab Data & Optimization Opportunities – Mobile

Performance Optimization Opportunities

Issue	Estimated Savings	Action	Priority
Image elements do not have explicit `width` and `height`	—	Set an explicit width and height on image elements to reduce layout shifts and improve CLS. (https://web.dev/articles/optimize-cls#images without dimensions)	Medium
Minify CSS	Est savings of 8 KiB	Minifying CSS files can reduce network payload sizes. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light house/performance/unminified-css/).	High
Properly size images	Est savings of 1,799 KiB	Serve images that are appropriately-sized to save cellular data and improve load time. (https://developer.chrome.com/docs/light house/performance/uses-responsive-images/).	High

Technical Summary

Test Environment & Final Notes - Desktop & Mobile

Test Environment

Device	Desktop & Mobile	Lighthouse Version	v10.0.0
Browser	HeadlessChrome/10.0.0	Generated On	September 10, 2025

Final Notes

This report reflects the status of <https://vitriturf.com/> as of **September 10, 2025**. The Core Web Vitals assessment is based on real user data over the past 28 days, while lab data provides controlled environment metrics for development optimization. Focus on addressing high-priority performance issues to improve user experience and search engine rankings.

PageSpeed Insights Report

<https://vitriturf.com/>

This comprehensive PageSpeed Insights audit has identified key areas for improvement to ensure your website meets high performance standards. The findings presented in this report provide a clear roadmap for enhancing performance and creating a more efficient digital experience for all users.

We recommend prioritizing the critical and serious issues identified, as these have the most significant impact on user performance. The moderate issues, while less urgent, should also be addressed to achieve optimal performance.

Thank you for choosing White Label IQ for your performance needs. We're committed to helping you create high-performing digital experiences.